# HOW WOULD A SINGLE-PAYER PUBLICLY FUNDED NATIONAL PHARMACARE PROGRAM AFFECT THE QUALITY OF ACCESS TO MEDICINES FOR CANADIAN PATIENTS?



AUTHOR: Viktoria Roman, Alexandru Dobrescu, and Sarah Lussier Hoskyn

The data indicates that Canada's dual-payer system works for the majority of Canadians. However, there are some **identifiable gaps** that need to be filled to ensure that all Canadians have access to needed medicines regardless of their **income**, **age**, **sex**, **or postal code**.

There are two priority populations that face the greatest drug access challenges and who need coverage the most in a universal pharmacare plan



Low-income Canadians with chronic diseases who would benefit from an essential medicines list.



Canadians with rare diseases, who cannot easily afford their treatments.

in the quality of reimbursement compared to Canadian private plans. Filling coverage gaps and lowering out-of-pocket costs for publicly-covered beneficiaries would be more fiscally responsible while still meeting the priority needs of Canadians.

Canadian public plans fall short

# BACKGROUND

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

The Hoskins report on National Pharmacare recommended the creation of a single-payer public model, transitioning from a list of essential medicines to a "comprehensive" list by 2027. However, evidence demonstrates the lack of comprehensiveness of coverage in the existing system for public payer beneficiaries, and raises doubts about its ability to meet the priority needs of vulnerable Canadians who are falling through the cracks.

## OBJECTIVE

This analysis summarizes evidence found in the literature on the availability and affordability of insurance coverage and of medicines in Canada's public plans to highlight improvements needed, and to inform a universal pharmacare plan that leverages the strengths of the current mixed public-private model in a fiscally responsible manner.

## **METHODS**

Using data from various IQVIA databases and literature, this research focuses on differences within therapeutic categories related to quality and quantity of access to medicines, and drug utilization patters of various target populations in public and private plans in Canada.

MOST CANADIANS ARE GENERALLY WELL-SERVED BY THE CURRENT MIXED PUBLIC-PRIVATE PHARMACARE SYSTEM – HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME WHO ARE POTENTIALLY VULNERABLE<sup>3</sup>



While the evidence shows that the current dual payer system is robust, there are some Canadians that are falling through the cracks and unable to pay for their medicines – those who need high cost drugs and whose employers are cutting access by adding caps on their benefit plans, as well as those who are low-income and struggle to pay for their chronic disease medications.<sup>12</sup> Insurance status also matters: those who have no coverage (1.8%), who are not enrolled (10%), and who are covered by a public plan only (26%) are the most vulnerable to affordability issues.<sup>3</sup>







#### MANY CANADIANS CURRENTLY COVERED UNDER A PRIVATE PLAN WOULD LOSE COVERAGE TO IMPORTANT MEDICINES UNDER A PUBLICLY-FUNDED SINGLE PAYER NATIONAL PHARMACARE PROGRAM\*



(3)

Between 19% - 44% of DINs that are reimbursed by a private plan in a given province are not reimbursed in the province's public plan counterpart, with Ontario being the highest, at 44%. In the top 6 therapeutic classes in Ontario in terms of spending, between 30-65% of DINs reimbursed by a private plan are not reimbursed by Ontario's public plan, with respiratory drugs being the highest, at 65%. These are mostly chronic conditions and, as a result, these patients are routinely facing more limited options to treat their long-term conditions. \*DINs with at least one private plan claim, without a claim in the same p public drug plan. Data from IQVIA Pharmastat. Analysis by Innovative Medicines Canada.

#### Pharmacare Cost Scenarios, Fill in the Gaps vs. Single-Payer



It would cost less than half of Hoskins' single-payer estimate to cover Canadians that are uninsured or underinsured, reduce coverage gaps in public plans, and lower the out of pocket costs across Canada's public plans. Note that this does not include rebates, which would lower the cost further, and includes a more comprehensive list than the Hoskins model (any drug reimbursed in at least one provincial public plan in Canada). While Hoskins' model takes over the costs of those who currently benefit from better private insurance coverage, this model assumes that the private market continues to operate independently (except for rare disease drugs which would be taken over by the public program) while the key gaps are filled through the public reimbursement system.

\*Data from IQVIA, Pharmastat, analysis by Innovative Medicines Canada. Ingredient costs & mark-ups only, dispensing fees excluded (except in Quebec). Federal plans excluded. No changes to drug ingredient costs or to mark-ups assumed. List of drugs based on availability in at least one public plan across Canada (more comprehensive than Quebec formulary and the Hoskins' model).

#### REFERENCES

(4)

1 CIHI. Prescribed Drug Spending in Canada, 2018: A Focus on Public Drug Programs. November 2018.

- 2 Law, M., Cheng, L., Kolhatkar, A., Goldsmith, L., Morgan, S., Holbrook, A. & Dhalla, I. (2018). The Consequences of Patient Charges for Prescription Drugs in Canada: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Canadian Medical Association Journal Open; 6(1): 63-70.
- 3 Thy Dinh and Greg Sutherland. Understanding the Gap: A Pan-Canadian Analysis of Prescription Drug Insurance Coverage. The Conference Board of Canada. December 2017. 4 PMPRB. Compass Rx, 3rd Edition. Annual Public Drug Plan Expenditure Report, 2015/16.
- 5 RAMQ. Rapport annuel de gestion, 2017-2018; and Alberta 2017/2018 Health Annual Report.

6 Dr. Eric Hoskins et al. A Prescription for Canada: Achieving Pharmacare for All. Final Report of the Advisory Council on the Implementation of National Pharmacare. Health Canada. June 2019.